1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	RONALD LIPTON and BRETT LIPTON,
4	
5	Plaintiffs,
	-against- 00 Civ. 319(LEK)(RWS)
6	JOHN WOOTON, KEVIN LANE, EDWIN BREWSTER, THE TOWN OF
7	WOODSTOCK, TOWN OF SAUGERTIES, GREG HULBERT, CHIEF
8	OF THE TOWN OF SAUGERTIES POLICE DEPARTMENT and JOHN DOE, AN UNIDENTIFIED TOWN OF SAUGERTIES POLICE OFFICER,
9	Defendants.
10	x
11	
12	EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL
13	of the Defendant, EDWIN BREWSTER, held on October 15th,
14	2002, commencing at 12:34 p.m., at the offices of Valley
15	
16	Reporting Service, 115 Green Street, Kingston, New York,
	before Kimberly Burke, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary
17	Public in and for the State of New York.
18	
19	•
20	* * * * *
21	
22	
23	VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE
24	115 Green Street Kingston, New York 12401
25	(845) 331-4020
4 5	

1 2 APPEARANCES 3 ROBERT N. ISSEKS, ESQ. Co-Counsel for the Plaintiffs 6 North Street Middletown, New York 10940 5 ALEX SMITH, ESQ. 6 Co-Counsel for the Plaintiffs 7 41 Dolson Avenue Middletown, New York 10940 8 McCABE & MACK, LLP 9 Attorneys for the Defendants John Wooton, Kevin Lane, Edwin Brewster 10 and the Town of Woodstock 63 Washington Street 11 P.O. Box 509 Poughkeepsie, New York 12602 12 DAVID L. POSNER, ESQ., 13 of Counsel 14 PHELAN, BURKE & SCOLAMIERO Attorneys for the Defendants 15 Greg Hulbert and the Town of Saugerties 16 302 Washington Avenue Extension P.O. Box 15085 17 Albany, New York 12212 18 BY: GERALD D. D'AMELIA JR., ESQ. of Counsel 19 ALSO PRESENT: 20 21 RONALD LIPTON BRETT LIPTON 22 KEVIN LANE JOHN WOOTON 23 GREG HULBERT 24

STIPULATIONS

and between the counsel for the respective parties hereto that all rights provided by the C.P.L.R., including the right to object to any question, except as to the form, or to move to strike any testimony at this examination, are reserved; and, in addition, the failure to object to any question or to move to strike testimony at this examination shall not be a bar or waiver to make such a motion at, and is reserved for, the trial of this action.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that this examination may be signed and sworn to by the witness being examined, before a Notary Public other than the Notary Public before whom this examination was begun, but the failure to do so, or to return the original of this examination to counsel, shall not be deemed a waiver of rights provided by Rules 3116 and 3117 of the C.P.L.R., and shall be controlled thereby.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the filing of the original of this examination shall be and the same is hereby waived.

4 1 2 EDWIN BREWSTER, having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public, 3 was examined and testified as follows: 5 * * * * * * * 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH: 7 Good afternoon, Chief. I'm Alex Smith. If I ask Q. 8 you anything that you don't understand, let me know and I will rephrase it for you; okay? 9 10 Α. Yes. How are you presently employed? 11 I'm a detective sergeant with the Ulster County 12 A. Sheriff's Office. 13 14 How long have you been so employed? Q. In the title of detective sergeant, three years. 15 Α. Before that, were you also employed with the 16 Q. 17 sheriff's department? 18 Α. I worked part-time when I was chief at Woodstock. When you were part-time, what was your job title? 19 Q. 20 Deputy. Α. 21 Are you still the Woodstock police chief? Q. 22 Α. No, I'm not. 23 Because you're now full-time with the Ulster Q. 24 County Sheriff's Department?

25

Α.

Yes.

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- Q. When did you cease being the police chief at
- 3 Woodstock?
- 4 A. January of '99, maybe 2000. I have been out for
- 5 three years, I believe.
- 6 Q. You were the police chief on February 14th, 1999;
- 7 is that fair to say?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How long had you been the Woodstock police chief?
- 10 A. Three years.
- 11 Q. Before that, were you employed by the Town of
- 12 Woodstock?
- 13 A. I was employed by the Ulster County Sheriff's
- 14 Office.
- 15 Q. Just part-time as you told me?
- 16 A. No, full-time.
- 17 Q. You were a full-time deputy?
- 18 A. I had been full-time with the sheriff's department
- since 1980; I left for three years to become chief
- at Woodstock while I maintained part-time status
- at the sheriff's department. Then I returned to
- 22 the sheriff's department full-time.
- Q. Were there any other law enforcement jobs that you
- haven't told me about?
- 25 A. I have worked part-time for other local PDs in the

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- Town of Rosendale, the Town of Hurley and the Town
- of Kingston.
- 4 Q. Prior to 1980?
- 5 A. Yes, and the Town of Kingston in 1977.
- 6 Q. Was that your first duty as a police officer in
- 7 1977?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Referring you to February 14th of 1999, did you
- 10 keep regular hours as chief in those days or was
- it on an as-needed basis?
- 12 A. It was as-needed, but my general schedule was
- 13 Monday through Friday.
- 14 Q. From when to when?
- 15 A. 9:00 to 5:00 generally.
- 16 Q. Were you working a regular workday on February
- 17 14th?
- 18 A. No, I was not.
- 19 Q. What were you doing that day?
- 20 A. I was off.
- 21 Q. Did there come a time when you came to the police
- 22 station?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. When was that?
- 25 A. It was in the evening hours, probably around 5:30

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- or 6:00.
- 3 Q. Was there a reason that you came to the police
- 4 station that day?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What was that reason?
- 7 A. I was contacted at my residence and told about the
- 8 arrest that we had just had, and I came to the
- 9 station.
- 10 Q. And that arrest would be the Liptons; right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Was there a reason that you were needed at the
- 13 station for this particular arrest?
- 14 A. The information I got -- and I don't recall who
- 15 called me -- but in fact, at least one of the
- 16 participants that we had in our arrest was a
- suspect in a homicide in, I believe it was, the
- Town of Newburgh, or it was a shooting on I-84.
- 19 That's what I was made aware of.
- 20 Q. Do you remember who told you this?
- 21 A. I don't remember who called me.
- 22 Q. Was the person who called you someone from your
- own police department?
- 24 A. I believe so, yes.
- 25 Q. So it was your understanding that this person was

1 EDWIN BREWSTER

- 2 giving you secondhand information from another
- 3 police agency about the suspect?
- 4 A. Right, correct.
- 5 Q. Prior to getting that telephone call, had you
- 6 known or heard of Ron Lipton?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Brett Lipton?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Prior to that day, February 14th, did you know a
- Jim Wrolsen?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. How did you know him?
- 14 A. I knew him to be a part-time officer in the Town
- of Saugerties.
- 16 Q. Did you know him personally?
- 17 A. No, I had met him once at an accident scene.
- 18 Q. Did you ever socialize with him?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Did you know anyone from his family?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Did you know where Wrolsen Road was?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Did you know that Jim Wrolsen was a member of the
- 25 Ku Klux Klan?

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- 2 A. No, sir.
- 3 Q. Other than the one telephone call that you told me
- about, did you receive any other information about
- 5 the Liptons or the arrest that had been made
- 6 before you left for the police station that day?
- 7 A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. When you got to the police station, were the
- 9 Liptons there?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Do you remember about what time you got there?
- 12 A. No, I do not. It was after dark, if I recall.
- 13 Q. What did you do upon getting there?
- 14 A. I talked to Officer Wooton and Officer Lane and
- asked them pretty much to sum up what had
- happened. And at that point, I made a phone call
- 17 -- or I don't know if there was a message there to
- 18 call, or somehow I was put in touch with a Town of
- 19 Newburgh officer about the Liptons.
- 20 Q. Who was that officer that you were put in touch
- 21 with?
- 22 A. All I remember is it was a female.
- Q. Would the name Margaret Hansen refresh your
- 24 memory?
- 25 A. I have no idea.

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- Q. Did you call that person?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Prior to making that call, did Officers Wooton and
- 5 Lane advise you what the Liptons had been arrested
- 6 for?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. What did they tell you?
- 9 A. A trespass complaint from Saugerties.
- 10 Q. Was that trespass as a violation or trespass as a
- 11 misdemeanor?
- 12 A. I did not ask. I assume it was a misdemeanor,
- because we made the arrest.
- 14 Q. Other than the fact that you made the arrest --
- when I say "you," I mean the Wooton Police
- 16 Department -- was there any other basis for your
- 17 assumption that it was a misdemeanor?
- 18 A. Procedurally, it would have had to have been.
- 19 Q. It was your understanding then that your officers
- would have no authority to arrest somebody if that
- 21 person committed a violation, as opposed to a
- crime, in another jurisdiction; correct?

- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. Other than that fact that they wouldn't have such
- authority, was there any other information that

1 EDWIN BREWSTER

- you had then with regard to the Saugerties offense
- that led you to believe that it was a misdemeanor?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did your officers also advise you that the Liptons
- 6 were being charged with criminal possession of a
- 7 weapon?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Had they already been charged with that upon your
- 10 arriving, by the way?
- 11 A. I don't know if the paperwork had been done, but I
- had been told on the phone that that was one of
- 13 the charges.
- 14 Q. Was there any discussion about the weapon before
- 15 you got on the phone to the Town of Newburgh
- 16 officer?
- 17 A. No, I think that was one of the first things I did
- 18 when I got to the station.
- 19 Q. Had you seen the weapon before you got on the
- telephone with the Newburgh police officer?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. When you made the telephone call, did you call
- into the Newburgh police station or somewhere
- 24 else?
- 25 A. I believe it was to the Newburgh PD; the Town PD.

12 EDWIN BREWSTER 1 Are tapes made of telephone calls that are made 2 Q. from the Woodstock Police Department? 3 4 A. Yes, but there are lines that are untaped. 5 Q. Did you make this call on a taped or untaped line? 6 Α. I have no idea. 7 Q. Does any tape exist of your telephone conversation that day? 8 I don't know. 9 Α. MR. SMITH: I'm going to ask that a 10 11 good faith effort be made to check and see if a 12 tape exists of that telephone call. 13 MR. POSNER: Okay. 14 15 **COUNSEL REQUESTS INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED** 16 17 Q. Is there a policy in the Woodstock Police 18 Department to retain tapes for a given period of 19 time of telephone conversations? 20 Α. Yes. 21 What is that period of time? Q. 22 Α. You have got to understand that the police and the 23

dispatch are separate; we are separate entities

altogether. They basically work for me as one of

three people; they work for the fire chief, they

********* *********************

13 1 EDWIN BREWSTER 2 work for me and they work for the EMS, so they have their own separate committee. What their 3 policy is, I don't know off the top of my head how long they hold onto it. 5 6 Q. Let's speak about your dispatcher on police 7 business, do you know if there is a policy of retaining these tapes for any given period of 8 time? 9 10 Α. It would be held the same as the other tapes. But you don't know what that time period is? 11 Q. 12 Α. No. 13 MR. SMITH: I'm going to ask that a 14 good faith effort be made to find out whether or 15 not tapes have been retained of any telephone 16 conversations from the dispatcher that day to 17 Saugerties or anywhere else. 18 MR. POSNER: I think a tape has been

MR. POSNER: I think a tape has been produced; hasn't it?

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ISSEKS: A tape has been produced and it appears to be radio dispatches, but what we are requesting is any tapes that may not have been produced. That is, any telephone conversations that there might have been from the police station.

14 EDWIN BREWSTER 1 MR. POSNER: We will do a further 2 search. 3 Let me ask another question. Is it also fair to Q. say that tapes are kept of telephone calls made by 5 Woodstock police officers on telephones other than 6 the dispatcher's phone? 7 But they're all interconnected on the same tapes. 9 There are separate phones in each office, but the lines are the same, and whatever lines are taped 10 in dispatch would be taped in my office or 11 wherever. 12 So it's possible that the call you made to the 13 Q. Newburgh Police Department would be taped on the 14 same line as the dispatcher's line? 15 Right; it's the same line. 16 Α. MR. SMITH: I'm going to ask that a 17 good faith effort be made to determine whether any 18 19 tapes exist other than what has already been produced. 20 21 **COUNSEL REQUESTS INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED** 22 23 Q. Were you put through to this Newburgh police 24 officer when you made the telephone call that 25

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- 2 night?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Do you remember the rank of that officer?
- 5 A. I want to say it was just an officer. I wouldn't
- say any higher than a sergeant; I wasn't talking
- 7 to the brass.
- 8 Q. Did you make any notes while you were engaged in
- 9 this conversation with this person?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. You believe it was a female?
- 12 A. I believe it was a female.
- 13 Q. What did she tell you?
- 14 A. Only that Mr. Lipton, the elder Mr. Lipton, was
- possibly a suspect in a homicide on I-84.
- 16 Q. Did she tell you that he was presently a suspect
- or he had been at some point in the past?
- 18 A. I don't recall. I want to say she said presently.
- 19 Q. Did she tell you anything else about either of the
- 20 Liptons?
- 21 A. Other than they had had some previous dealings
- 22 with them, that was it.
- Q. How did she describe those dealings?
- 24 A. Just that she knew them.
- Q. Did she give you any details about those dealings

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- 2 at all?
- 3 A. No, I took it to mean that they weren't social
- dealings. It was some type of work-related
- 5 dealings.
- 6 Q. How long did your telephone conversation last?
- 7 A. Not long, a few minutes.
- 8 Q. Did the police officer on the other end make any
- 9 requests of you with regard to the Liptons?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did they make any suggestions about what to do
- 12 with them?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Was there any discussion about a follow-up to this
- 15 telephone conversation?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. What did you do after you got off the phone with
- 18 her?
- 19 A. I believe at that point was when we took Brett to
- the detective unit to speak to him.
- 21 Q. Who is "we"?
- 22 A. Myself and Detective Lane.
- 23 Q. For that purpose did you do that?
- 24 A. We wanted to see if he was willing to talk about
- anything he knew about the homicide.

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- Q. Was that the sole purpose of taking him aside, to
- 3 talk about the homicide?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Did you take him aside?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Can you tell me the substance of the conversation
- 8 that you had with him?
- 9 A. We talked about his background and we briefly
- 10 talked about the gun. He said that it was a legal
- gun. Then I told him that my real interest was in
- talking to him about whether if he knew anything
- about this homicide on I-84 and he stated -- I
- 14 believe I had notes from that which may be
- somewhere in your file -- I believe he told me
- 16 that his father had already been talked to about
- it and it was done or over with, something along
- 18 those lines.
- 19 Q. When he said that he had been talked to about it,
- 20 did you take that to mean that he has been talked
- to by law enforcement?
- 22 A. By the police, yes.
- Q. Did he specify what law enforcement agency that
- 24 was with?
- 25 A. I believe he said the state police.

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- Q. I'm showing you one of the pages in the
- 3 Defendant's Response to Plaintiffs' Demand for
- 4 Production of Documents. Can you identify that as
- 5 the notes that you were talking about?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Those are the notes?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is that all your handwriting on that page?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Those notes are solely from the conversation that
- you and Detective Lane had with Brett Lipton?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Is there a reason that you and Lane decided to
- speak to Brett rather than Ron about the homicide?
- 16 A. Brett was never mentioned as a suspect of it, so
- 17 we would certainly want to talk to him rather than
- 18 directly to the suspect.
- 19 Q. Was your conversation with Brett that night taped?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. How long did that conversation go on for?
- 22 A. If it's not written down, my guess would be half
- an hour or maybe a little more.
- Q. Did you form an opinion during that half an hour
- or at the end of that half an hour that Brett was

EDWIN BREWSTER 1 being cooperative with you? Yes. A. 3 Did you form an opinion as to whether he was Q. giving you truthful information? 5 Yes. I had really no way of verifying it, but 6 A. from what he seemed to be telling me, he seemed to 7 be truthful. 8 Your gut instinct as a long-term police officer 9 Q.

was that he was telling you the truth?

MR. POSNER: Objection.

10

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- 2 A. Sure.
- 3 Q. This was done in your office?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Who brought him into your office?
- 6 A. I probably brought him in myself.
- 7 Q. You don't have any recollection of that?
- 8 A. No. He was right around the corner from me, so I
- 9 would assume I did it.
- 10 Q. And you then had a conversation with Ron Lipton?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Was anyone else present during that conversation
- other than you?
- 14 A. No, sir.
- 15 Q. Was this conversation taped?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. Tell me the substance of what was said?
- 18 A. Mr. Lipton was a little agitated with the
- 19 Saugerties police. He wanted me to listen to
- several tape recordings he had, and I told him
- that I wasn't interested in that and if he had a
- problem with the Saugerties police, that he would
- really have to deal with the Saugerties police.
- He mentioned that he had talked to Chief Hulbert
- and then I said, That's probably the gentleman

1 EDWIN BREWSTER

- that you would have to speak to about it, but that
- 3 I was not interested in hearing the tapes, because
- it had no relevance to what we had arrested him
- 5 for.
- 6 Q. Prior to this conversation with Ronald Lipton in
- your office, had you had any conversations with
- anyone from the Saugerties PD that day about the
- 9 Liptons?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. Had you had any conversations that day with anyone
- from the Saugerties PD about Wrolsen?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. Had any of your officers, prior to that
- 15 conversation with Ronald Lipton in your office,
- told you that the problem Lipton was having with
- 17 the Saugerties PD had to do with Wrolsen?
- 18 A. Did any of my officers tell me that?
- 19 Q. Right.
- 20 A. No, I think Mr. Lipton told me that.
- 21 Q. How long did that conversation last with Ronald
- 22 Lipton?
- 23 A. Ten to fifteen minutes. He was insisting on me
- 24 listening to the tapes and when I didn't do that,
- that was pretty much the end of our conversation.

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- 2 Q. Did he make any other requests of you during that
- 3 conversation?
- 4 A. No, I don't believe so.
- 5 Q. Did you ever hear those tapes that he was asking
- 6 you to listen to?
- 7 A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. Did you have any conversations with Ronald Lipton
- 9 in your office about whether or not the gun was
- 10 legal?
- 11 A. I don't know if that took place in my office. I
- mean, pretty much the whole time he was claiming
- it was legal. That was not necessarily directed
- at me, but anybody who would listen at that point.
- 15 Q. Did you at any time that night make any inquiry of
- your officers as to whether or not they had
- 17 measured the gun?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did your inquiry take place before or after your
- 20 conversation with Ronald Lipton?
- 21 A. I believe it was before.
- 22 Q. Tell me the substance of the inquiry you made?
- 23 A. I asked him, because they showed me the gun and it
- 24 didn't look sawed off; it looked like a factory
- weapon.

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- Q. Meaning it was legal; correct?
- 3 A. Right. It was my concern that it was a legal
- 4 weapon, so we actually went and got out the
- 5 definitions again and we made sure the
- 6 measurements were correct.
- 7 Q. When you refer to the definitions, you are talking
- 8 about a penal law; correct?
- 9 A. Yes, correct.
- 10 Q. So what did you do to make sure it was correct?
- 11 A. I measured it again with Officer Wooton.
- 12 Q. Did you measure with this tape that has been
- produced here today, but that we haven't marked?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. How did you read that tape that day?
- 16 A. I made the same mistake he did.
- 17 Q. When you say "the same mistake," you have been
- here during Officers Wooton and Lane's testimony
- about how they misinterpreted the number the same
- way; correct?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Had you ever used that tape before to measure a
- 23 gun?
- 24 A. No, sir. I don't even know where they got it, to
- tell you the truth.

1 EDWIN BREWSTER MR. ISSEKS: I think we should have 2 this tape deemed marked. Mr. Posner, will you 3 make sure that that tape is preserved for trial? MR. POSNER: Yes. 5 6 Q. Do you have a problem with having this tape taken 7 out of circulation to preserve it for trial? 8 MR. POSNER: He's not the chief any longer. 9 I'm not the chief anymore, so that's not my 10 11 concern. 12 MR. POSNER: Nice try. 13 MR. SMITH: Let's have this deemed 14 marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1. 15 16 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1: MEASURING 17 TAPE, deemed marked for identification) 18 19 After you measured the gun, did you give your Q. 20 officers any instructions on what to do next? 21 Α. No, just to proceed with what they were doing. They were getting close to the arraignment. 22 In the Woodstock Police Department at that time, 23 Q. 24 did you have any policies with regard to bail 25 applications or recommendations to a local judge

VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE 845-331-4020

1 EDWIN BREWSTE

- 2 if an Assistant District Attorney was not present
- 3 at the arraignment?
- A. We would never make a recommendation; that always
- had to come from the DA's office. The judge would
- make the determination of whether he wanted a bail
- 7 recommendation. If he did, he would make the call
- from the arraignment and have the ADA on call call
- 9 back.
- 10 Q. Did the Woodstock Police Department have a policy
- with regard to the information that would be
- imparted to a judge in an arraignment where there
- 13 is no Assistant District Attorney present?
- 14 A. A written policy, no, sir.
- 15 Q. Was there a procedure in place as to what kind of
- information would be imparted?
- 17 A. Just the nature of the charges. It would be up to
- 18 the judge to determine; that's what we tell
- 19 people.
- 20 Q. Beyond the nature of the charges, would it have
- 21 been the Woodstock Police Department's procedure
- to give a judge background information on a
- 23 suspect such as the suspect's record?
- 24 A. Only if he would ask for it. He might ask for a
- 25 File 15. They generally don't do that at

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- 2 arraignment; they ask for that later.
- 3 Q. Were you present at the arraignment?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. Before you left the police station, did you learn
- anything further about the Liptons from Saugerties
- or from any other law enforcement agency?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. Did you ever have any follow-up conversations with
- 10 that Town of Newburgh police officer?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. Did you ever have any conversations with anyone in
- 13 the Saugerties Police Department about the Liptons
- after February 14th, 1999?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- 16 Q. Same question: Did you ever have any conversations
- with anybody from any other law enforcement agency
- such as the state police or the Town of Newburgh
- 19 about the Liptons after February 14th, 1999?
- 20 A. Yes, with the state police.
- 21 Q. When was that?
- 22 A. I believe it was the next day. Then subsequent to
- that, Investigator Vasquez was one of the people
- that I had spoken to, and I believe they had been
- involved in doing a search warrant at the Lipton

1 EDWIN BREWSTER

- 2 house and they wanted some information about the
- 3 arrest, I believe.
- 4 Q. The conversation the next day, was that with
- 5 Vasquez or somebody else?
- 6 A. I don't know if it was with Vasquez or the senior
- 7 investigator out of Kingston; it was probably the
- 8 senior investigator out of Kingston.
- 9 Q. Was that a male or female?
- 10 A. Male; Officer Collins.
- 11 Q. Did he call you?
- 12 A. I don't know if he called me or I called him. We
- generally spoke on a fairly regular basis.
- 14 Q. What was the substance of your conversation?
- 15 A. Just in general if anything had gone on over the
- 16 weekend, and I had mentioned this to him and
- mentioned that we had gotten information that the
- 18 elder Mr. Lipton may have been involved in or been
- 19 spoken to about the homicide on I-84.
- 20 Q. Did he say anything about that homicide?
- 21 A. No. He knew about it, but it didn't sound like he
- had any intimate knowledge of it. It wasn't his
- 23 area of investigation.
- Q. Was it your understanding at that point that you
- were speaking with the Kingston investigator that

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- the suspicion of Mr. Lipton being involved in a
- 3 homicide was a present suspicion or a past
- 4 suspicion?
- 5 A. I didn't know. He didn't tell me one way or the
- 6 other.
- 7 Q. Have you ever learned that this suspicion came
- 8 back as unfounded?
- 9 A. I have no idea. I don't know if it's present,
- 10 past, unfounded or whatever.
- 11 Q. Was the conversation that you had with Vasquez
- limited to the issue of the search warrant?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Anything else?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Were there any other conversations that you
- 17 remember with the state police about the Liptons
- 18 after February 14th?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- 20 Q. Did there come a time when you learned that a
- 21 mistake had been made in measuring the gun?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. When was that?
- 24 A. The next day.
- 25 Q. Did you know that the Liptons had been remanded to

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- 2 the Ulster County Jail after the arraignment?
- 3 A. I did the next day.
- Q. And you knew what the bail was?
- 5 A. I didn't ask about the bail.
- 6 Q. By the way, did you have any conversations at any
- 7 time after February 14th with anybody from the
- 8 Ulster County Sheriff's Department about the
- 9 Liptons?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. Or any deputies over at the jail?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- 13 Q. How did you learn that a mistake had been made?
- 14 A. Sergeant Van Debogart from my department had told
- 15 me about it.
- 16 Q. Did you have any understanding of why he undertook
- 17 to measure the gun again?
- 18 A. Well, he's an avid hunter and he had seen the gun
- 19 itself and he believed it was legal as well. He
- 20 had a question about whether it was a legal gun or
- 21 not and he measured it.
- 22 Q. Do you know if he measured the gun with this tape
- that he have deemed marked today?
- 24 A. I don't know what he measured it with.
- 25 Q. But he told you in no uncertain terms that it was

- 1 EDWIN BREWSTER
- 2 legal?
- 3 A. Absolutely.
- Q. Did you, yourself, contact anybody, be it the
- judge or the district attorney, with regard to the
- fact that a mistake had been made?
- 7 A. I had Sergeant Van Debogart contact ADA Matera
- 8 right then that day.
- 9 Q. When you say "right then," you told him to call
- 10 the ADA that day?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Do you know if he called the ADA that day?
- 13 A. He told me he did, yes.
- 14 Q. When did he tell you that?
- 15 A. Probably within an hour that same day.
- 16 Q. Did he tell you the substance of his conversation
- 17 with the ADA?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Did he tell you what the ADA was going to do with
- 20 it?
- 21 A. He didn't tell me, no. I assumed they would
- 22 inform the judge.
- 23 Q. Do you know if anybody informed the judge?
- 24 A. I don't know.
- 25 Q. Did it come to your attention at any time in the

1 EDWI	N BR	EWST	ER
--------	------	------	----

- week following the February 14th arraignment that
- 3 the Liptons were still in the Ulster County Jail?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. How did you learn that?
- 6 A. Well, I don't remember who told me, but somebody
- 7 told me that another agency had laid a warrant on
- 8 them.
- 9 Q. Prior to the issuance of the warrant by another
- jurisdiction, did you learn that the Liptons had
- remained in jail on the Woodstock charge for any
- period of time after February 15th, 1999?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. That didn't come to your attention?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did you ever personally or did you ever instruct
- any of your officers to do any follow-up as to
- 18 whether or not Judge Husted had been informed that
- 19 the gun was legal?
- 20 A. No, sir, we would not do that. We would go
- 21 directly to the District Attorney's office, not
- 22 directly to the judge.
- 23 Q. As you sit here today, are you aware that for
- about another four months the Liptons' gun
- possession case remained an active case in the

1 EDWIN BREWSTER

- 2 Woodstock Town Court?
- 3 A. No, sir, I wasn't.
- Q. Did you just learn that for the first time today?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. So is it safe to say that after February 15th you
- 7 had no discussions with the ADA about the Liptons'
- 8 case?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Did you have any discussions with any of your
- officers in the next four months about the
- 12 Liptons' gun possession case?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. Did anybody ever advise you that the hearing had
- been dropped prior to the commencement of this
- 16 lawsuit?
- 17 A. I don't believe so.
- 18 Q. When I say "dropped," I mean dismissed.
- 19 A. No, I don't believe so. I assume they would be
- 20 dismissed.
- 21 Q. Do you have any knowledge as you sit here as to
- why it took four months to dismiss them?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- MR. SMITH: Just one minute.

33 1 (Break in the proceeding) 2 3 MR. SMITH: I don't have any further questions. 5 6 (The Examination Before Trial of 7 EDWIN BREWSTER concluded at 1:07 p.m.) 8 STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF _____ 10 11 12 I have read the foregoing record of my 13 testimony taken at the time and place noted in the 14 heading hereof, and I do hereby acknowledge it to 15 be a true and correct transcript of same. 16 17 18 19 EDWIN BREWSTER 20 Sworn to before me this 21 22 _____, day of _____, 2002. 23 24 NOTARY PUBLIC 25

CERTIFICATION I, KIMBERLY BURKE, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that I recorded stenographically the proceedings herein at the time and place noted in the heading hereof, and that the foregoing is an accurate and complete transcript of same, to the best of my knowledge and belief. KIMBERLY BURKE Dated: November 4th, 2002

INDEX TO EXHIBITS Description <u>Line</u> <u>Page</u> Plaintiffs' 1 Measuring Tape INDEX TO REQUESTS Description Page Line A search to see if a tape exists of the telephone call with the Newburgh Police Department A search for any tapes that exist which have not already been produced

EDWIN BRE	WSTE	R		Condense	:It™			'99 - e	vening
'99[1] 5:5		afternoon [1]	4:8	Box [2] 2:11	2:16	22:2 22:4	22:21	detective [5]	4:13
-against [1]	1:5	again [3] 23:6	23:12	brass [1] 15:8			28:12	4:16 16:21	16:23
00 [4] 1:5	6:16	29:18		Break [1]	33:3	30:17		18:13	
6:16 7:3		agency [5]	8:4	Brett [9] 1:3	2:21	conversations		determination	[1]
07[1] 33:9		17:24 26:8	26:18	8:9 16:20	18:13	12:20 13:17 21:8 21:12	13:24 22:9	25:7	
1 [4] 24:15	24:17	31:8		18:16 18:17	18:20	26:10 26:13	26:17	determine [2] 25:19	14:19
33:9 35:6		agitated [1]	20:19	19:2		28:17 29:7		directed [1]	22:14
10' [2] 19:24	19:24	agreed [4]	3:5	Brewster [6]	1:6	cooperative [1]	19:3	directly [4]	
10940 [2]	2:5	3:15 3:24	20:2	1:13 2:9 33:9 33:20	4:3	corner [1]	20:9	19:25 31:22	18:19 31:23
2:7		Albany [1]	2:17	briefly [1]	17:10	correct [11]	8:5	discussion [2]	11:15
115 [2] 1:15	1:23	Alex [2] 2:6	4:8	brought [3]	19:16	10:23 10:24	23:3	16:15	11.13
12[2] 1:14	35:13	along [1] 17:18		20:6 20:7	15.10	23:7 23:9	23:10	discussions [2]	32:8
12212[1]	2:17	altogether [1]	12:25	Burke [4]	1:16	23:11 23:21	32:10	32:11	
12401 [1]	1:24	always [1]	25:5	2:14 34:6	34:17	33:16	0.10	dismiss [1]	32:23
12602 [1]	2:11	applications [1]	25:2	business [1]	13:8	counsel [6] 2:18 3:6	2:13 3:21	dismissed [2]	32:19
14[1] 35:14		area[1] 27:24		C[3] 2:2	34:3	12:16 14:23	3.21	32:21	
14th [9] 5:7	6:10	arraignment [8]		34:3		County [7]	4:13	dispatch [2]	12:24
6:18 8:11	26:15	25:4 25:9 26:3 26:4	25:13 29:3	C.P.L.R [2]	3:7	4:25 5:14	29:3	14:12	
26:20 28:19 31:3	29:8	31:3	27.3	3:22		29:9 31:4	33:11	dispatcher [2]	13:7
15 _[2] 26:2	35:13	arrest [9]	7:9	calls [2] 12:3	14:6	Court [3]	1:2	13:17	. 140
15085 [1]	2:16	7:11 7:14	7:17	case [4] 32:2	32:2	32:3 34:6	10.55	dispatcher's [2]	14:8
15th _[3] 1:13	31:13	9:6 10:14	10:15	32:9 32:13		crime [1]	10:23	dispatches [1]	13:22
32:7	31:13	10:21 27:4		cease [1] 5:3		criminal [1]	11:7	district [6]	
16 _[1] 35:6		arrested [2]	10:6	certainly [1]	18:18	D[3] 2:18	35:3	1:2 25:3	1:2 25:14
1977 [2] 6:6	6:8	arriving [1]	11.11	certify [1]	34:8	35:9 D'AMELIA [1]	2.10	30:6 31:22	25.17
1980 [2] 5:20	6:5	as-needed [2]	11:11	charge [1]	31:12	DA's [1] 25:6	2:18	Documents [1]	18:5
1999 [5] 5:7	6:10	6:13	6:12	charged [2]	11:7	dark [1] 9:13		DOE [1] 1:8	
26:15 26:20	31:13	aside [2] 17:3	17:6	11:10	11.14		24.20	Dolson [1]	2:7
2000 [1] 5:5		Assistant [2]	25:3	charges [3] 25:18 25:21	11:14	Dated [1]	34:20	done [3] 11:12	17:18
2002 [3] 1:14	33:23	25:14	23.3	check [1]	12:12	DAVID [1]	2:12	20:4	
34:20		assume [3]	10:13	chief [13]	1:7	days[1] 6:11		down [1] 18:23	
22[1] 35:14		20:10 32:20		4:8 4:19	4:22	deal [1] 20:24	15.00	dropped [2]	32:16
24 [1] 35:6		assumed [1]	30:22	5:3 5:7	5:10	dealings [5] 15:24 16:2	15:22 16:5	32:19	
30 [1] 7:2		assumption [1]	10:18	5:20 6:11	13:2	16:6	10.5	duly [1] 4:4	
302[1] 2:16		attention [2]	31:2	20:25 24:9	24:11	Debogart [2]	29:15	during [4]	18:25
3116[1] 3:22		31:15		circulation [1]	24:8	30:8		20:13 22:3	23:19
3117 [1] 3:22		attorney [3]	25:3	Civ [1] 1:5		decided [1]	18:15	duty [1] 6:7	
319[1] 1:5		25:14 30:6		claiming [1]	22:13	deemed [5]	3:21	E [10] 2:2 2:20 2:20	2:2
331-4020[1]	1:24	Attorney's [1]	31:22	close [1] 24:23		24:4 24:14	24:18	2:20 2:20 35:3 35:3	34:3 35:9
34[1] 1:14		Attorneys [2]	2:9	Co-Counsel [2]	2:4	29:24		35:9 35:9	33.7
41 [1] 2:7		2:14	10.01	2:6	07.11	Defendant [1]		Edwin [6]	1:6
4th [1] 34:20		authority [2]	10:21	Collins [1]	27:11	Defendant's [1]		1:13 2:9	4:3
5 [2] 6:16	7:2	Avenue [2]	2:7	commencement 32:16	ue [1]	Defendants [3]	1:9	33:9 33:20	
509[1] 2:11		2:16	,	commencing [1	111-14	2:9 2:14	22.6	effort [3]	12:12
6 [2] 2:4	7:3	avid[1] 29:19		committed [1]	10:22	definitions [2]	23:6	13:15 14:19	1.5.00
63 [1] 2:10		aware [2]	7:20	committee [1]	13:4	Demand [1]	18:4	either [1]	15:20
845 [1] 1:24		31:24		complaint [1]	10:10	department [17]		elder [3] 15:15 27:19	19:20
9[1] 6:16		B [1] 35:3		complete [1]	34:11	4:18 4:25	5:19	l .	4.10
Absolutely [1]	30:4	background [2]	17:10	concern [2]	23:4	5:22 5:23	7:24	employed [5] 4:15 4:17	4:12 5:12
accident [1]	8:18	25:23		24:12	23.4	10:17 12:4	12:19	5:14	J.12
accurate [1]	34:11	bail [4] 24:25	25:7	concluded [1]	33:9	14:15 24:24 26:14 29:9	25:11	EMS [1] 13:3	
acknowledge		29:5 29:6		contact [2]	30:5	26:14 29:9 35:13	29:15	end [3] 16:9	19:2
33:15	-,	bar[1] 3:13		30:8	50.5	Department's	11	22:2	
action [1]	3:14	basis [3] 6:12	10:17	contacted [1]	7:8	25:22	,	enforcement [5] 5:24
active [1]	32:2	27:14	£.00	controlled [1]	3:23	deputies [1]	29:12	17:22 17:24	26:8
ADA [7] 25:9	30:8	become [1]	5:20	conversation		deputy [2]	4:21	26:18	
30:11 30:13	30:18	begun [1]	3:19	12:8 15:10	16:7	5:18		engaged [1]	15:9
30:20 32:8		belief [1]	34:12	16:16 17:8	18:12	describe [1]	15:24	entities [1]	12:24
addition [1]	3:11	best [1] 34:12		18:20 18:22	19:15	Description [2]		ESQ [4] 2:3	2:6
advise [3]	10:6	between [1]	3:6	20:11 20:13 21:7 21:16	20:16 21:22	35:11		2:12 2:18	7.0
11:6 32:15		Beyond [1]	25:21	21.7	41.66	details [1]	16:2	evening [1]	7:2
TZAT T DYZ DE		<u> </u>				<u></u>		1	

EDWIN	BREWSTER	
EDWIN	DVEASIEV	

3:16

3:25

3:17

3:9

24:15

14:20

12:13

2:16

10:15

22:25

3:11

14:5

27:14

13:15

8:21

17:17

5:7

8:11

28:19

31:13

9:23

27:10

21:24

26:2

3:25

6:7

16:15

31:3

4:5

33:13

18:25

32:12

6:14

4:24

5:19

6:13

6:16

21:2

2:18

13:9

8:3

examination [9] 1:11

3:12

3:20

33:8

examined [2]

except [1]

Exhibit [2]

exist[3] 12:8

Extension [1]

fact [4] 7:16

factory [1]

failure [2]

fair [2] 5:8

faith [3] 12:12

February [11]

6:17

26:20

31:3

15:13

32:5

fairly [1]

family [1]

father m

14:19

6:10

26:15

29:8

32:7

female [4]

fifteen [1]

filing [1]

11:18

few [1] 16:8

file [2] 17:16

fire [1] 13:2

first [4] 4:4

follow-up (3)

26:10 31:18

following [1]

foregoing [2]

form [3] 3:9

four[3] 31:25

follows [1]

34:10

19:5

32:23

5:17

5:23

27:16

26:2

Friday [1]

full-time [5]

general [2]

generally [3]

gentleman [1]

GERALD [1]

given [2] 12:19

gone[1] 27:16

giving [2]

19:6

5:18

27:14

15:12

34:3

30:7

24:17

35:14

35:12

 $\mathbf{F}_{[1]}$

10:25

3:19

exists [2]

3:10

3:19

4:7

4:5

25:23

27:3

27:18

9:15

2:22

10:6

0019-0	LO-IXI		Document 5-	+-22	T IICU C	717131	04 1	age 37 01 33	
R			Condense	eIt™			examin	ation - NORT	HERN
good [4]	4:8	12:12	informed [2]	30:24	16:23	18:13	18:15	mean [5] 10:16	16:4
	14:19		31:19		Lane's	[1]	23:19	17:21 22:13	32:19
Green [2]	1:15	inquiry [3]	22:16	last [2]	16:7	21:22	Meaning [1]	23:3
1:23			22:20 22:23		law [6]	5:24	17:22	measure [3]	23:13
Greg [3]	1:7	2:15	insisting [1]	21:24	17:24	23:9	26:8	23:23 29:18	
2:23	10.00		instinct [1]	19:10	26:18			measured [6] 23:12 24:20	22:18 29:22
guess [1]		17.10	instruct [1]	31:17	lawsuit		32:17	29:23 29:25	29.22
gun [15] 22:10	22:18	17:12 22:24	instructions [1]	_	learn [5]		29:14 32:5	measurements	Г17
23:24	24:20	28:22	interconnected	i [1]	31:6 learned	31:11	28:8	23:7	[-]
29:18	29:19	29:21	14:9	17.10	28:21	[2]	20:0	measuring [3]	24:17
29:23	31:20	31:25	interest [1]	17:12	least [1]	7.16		28:22 35:6	
32:13			interested [2]	20:22	leave [1]			member [1]	8:25
gut [1]	19:10		intimate [1]	27:23	led[1]	11:4		memory [1]	9:25
H [1]	35:3		investigation [left [3]	5:20	9:7	mentioned [4]	18:17
half [4]		18:25	27:24	1]	26:6	3.20	9.1	20:25 27:17	27:18
19:2	19:14	10.10	investigator [4]	26:24	legal [9]	17-11	22:11	message [1]	9:18
handwr	_		27:8 27:9	28:2	22:14	23:3	23:4	met [1] 8:18	
Hansen		9:24	involved [3]	27:2	29:20	29:21	30:3	Middletown [2]	2:5
head [1]			27:19 28:3	_,,_	31:20			2:7	
heading 34:10	[2]	33:15	ISSEKS [3]	2:3	LEK [1]			might [2]	13:25
	22.6		13:21 24:3		limited		28:13	25:25	
hear [1]		10.25	issuance [1]	31:10	line [6]		14:16	minute [1]	32:25
	-	19:25	issue [1] 28:13		14:16	14:17	35:5	minutes [2] 21:24	16:8
hearing 32:15	[2]	21:4	itself [1] 29:20		35:11	10.5	14.11		
held [2]	1.12	13:11	jail [4] 29:3	29:12	lines [4]	12:5 17:19	14:11	misdemeanor [4] 10:18
hereby		3:5	31:4 31:12		Lipton		1:3	11:4	10.10
4:2	33:15	3.3 34:8	January [1]	5:5	1:3	2:21	2:21	misinterpreted	lm
herein [34:9	Jim [2] 8:12	8:25	8:7	8:9	15:15	23:20	- 1-1
hereof	-	33:15	job [1] 4:20		15:15	18:13	19:20	mistake [5]	23:17
34:10	4]	33.13	jobs [1] 5:24		19:20	20:11	20:19	23:18 28:22	29:14
hereto [11	3:7	John [4] 1:6	1:7	21:7	21:16 21:23	21:17 22:9	30:7	
higher		15:7	2:9 2:22		22:21	27:2	27:19	Monday [1]	6:14
hold[1]			JR [1] 2:18		28:3			months [3]	31:25
homici		7:18	judge [10]	25:2	Lipton	S [17]	7:11	32:12 32:23	
15:16	17:2	17:4	25:6 25:13 25:23 30:6	25:19 30:23	9:6	9:10	9:20	motion[1]	3:13
17:14	18:16	27:20	30:24 31:19	31:23	10:6 16:10	11:6 21:10	15:21 26:7	move [2] 3:9	3:12
27:21	28:4		jurisdiction [2		26:14	26:20	28:18	N [7] 2:2	2:3
hour [5]		18:25	31:11	1 10.25	29:2	29:10	31:4	2:20 3:3 35:3 35:9	34:3
19:2	19:14	30:16	keep [1] 6:11		31:11			name [1] 9:24	
hours [2	-	7:2	kept[1] 14:6		Lipton	S' [3]	31:25	nature [2]	25:18
house [1		27:3	Kevin [3]	1:6	32:8	32:13		25:21	23.10
Hulber 2:15		1:7	2:9 2:22		listen [20:20	necessarily [1]	22:14
	2:23	20:25	Kimberly [3]	1:16	22:7	22:15		needed [1]	7:13
hunter		29:19	34:6 34:17		listenii		21:25	never [2] 18:17	25:5
Hurley		6:3	kind [1] 25:16		LLP [1]			New [10] 1:2	1:15
Husted		31:19	Kingston [7]	1:15	local [2	-	25:2	1:17 1:24	2:5
I-84 [4] 17:14	7:19 27:20	15:16	1:24 6:4	6:6	long-te		19:10	2:7 2:11	2:17
idea [3]		12:7	27:8 27:9	28:2	longer		24:10	33:10 34:7	
28:10	10:2	12:7	Klan [1] 9:2		look [1]			Newburgh [11]	
identif	ication	[1]	Klux [1] 9:2		looked		22:25	9:20 11:16	11:21
24:18	ioution.	[1]	knew [6] 8:15	15:25	MACK	[1]	2:8	11:24 12:2 14:25 26:11	14:15 26:19
identif	V [1]	18:5	17:2 17:13 29:5	27:22	mainta	ined [1]	5:21	35:12	20.19
imparte		25:13	knowledge [3]	27:23	male [2	27:10	27:11	next [7] 19:18	24:21
25:17	(~)	-5.15	32:22 34:12	21:23	Marga		9:24	26:23 27:5	28:25
includi	ng [11	3:8	known [1]	8:7	marke		23:14	29:4 32:12	
inform		30:23	Ku [1] 9:2	J.,	24:4	24:15	24:18	Nice [1] 24:13	
inform			L[3] 2:12	2:20	29:24			night [3] 15:3	18:20
7:15	8:3	9:5	3:3	2.20	Matera		30:8	22:16	
11:2	12:16	14:23	laid [1] 31:8		may [4]		13:23	North [1]	2:4
19:6	25:12	25:17 27:18	Lane [8] 1:6	2:9	17:15 McCA	27:19	2.0	NORTHERN	[1]
1 23124	11.4	/ / · I X			I BA A A E ' A	HE HI FIT	77.0	(1.77	

1:2

2:8

McCABE [1]

EDWIN BR		CondenseIt [™]					Notary - suspe			
Notary [6] 3:17 3:18	1:16 4:4	21:9 PDs [1]	21:13 6:2	21:18	21:17 Proced	24:7 urally [1]	Reporting [2]	1:15	sheriff's [8] 4:18 4:25	4:14 5:14

EDMIN	DKE	MOID				Condense	1.				<u>auspect</u>
Notary [6	1	1:16	21:9	21:13	21:18	21:17 24:7		Reporting [2]	1:15	sheriff's [8]	4:14
3:17	3:18		PDs [1]			Procedurally [1	1	1:23		4:18 4:25	5:14
	34:7				-	10:19	,	requesting [1]	13:23	5:19 5:22	5:23
noted [2]			penal [1]				25.16			29:9	Į.
			people [13:2	procedure [2]	25:16	requests [4]	12:16	shooting [1]	7:19
notes [5]		17:15	25:20	26:24		25:22		14:23 16:10	22:3		
18:6	18:8	18:12	period	51	12:19	proceed [1]	24:22	reserved [2]	3:10	Shorthand [1]	1:16
Novemb	erm	34:20	12:22	13:9	13:12	proceeding [1]	33:3	3:14		showed [1]	22:24
now [1]			31:13	13.3	15.12	proceedings [1]		residence [1]	7:8	showing [1]	18:3
					7.00			respective [1]	3:6	signed [1]	3:16
number	[1]	23:20	person		7:23	processed [1]	19:17				1
O[5]	2:20	3:3	8:2	10:3	10:22	produced [6]	13:20	Response [1]	18:4	Sit [2] 31:24	32:22
34:3	35:3	35:9	15:10			13:21 13:24	14:21	retain [1]	12:19	Smith [9]	2:6
object [2]		3:8	persona	ally [2]	8:17	23:14 35:14		retained [1]	13:16	4:7 4:8	12:11
3:11		•.0	31:17	-		Production [1]	18:5			13:14 14:18	24:14
1		10.12	PHELA	Nm	2:14			retaining [1]	13:9	32:25 33:5	
Objection		19:12	1		9:17	provided [2]	3:7	return [1]	3:20	social [1]	16:4
October	[1]	1:13	phone [3:22		returned [1]	5:22		
off [4]	6:21	13:5		11:16	14:8	Public [6]	1:17	right [9] 3:8	7:11	socialize [1]	8:19
	22:25		16:18			3:17 3:18	4:4		20:9	sole [1] 17:3	
offense [11:3	phones	[1]	14:10	33:25 34:7		8:5 14:17 21:20 23:4	30:9	solely [1]	18:12
			place [5	1 22:12	22:20	purpose [2]	16:24		30.9		
office [12]	4:14	25:16	33:14	34:9	17:3	10.27	30:10		someone [1]	7:23
	14:10	14:12	Plainti		1:4	1	0.01	rights [2]	3:7	somewhere [2]	11:24
	20:6	21:8	2:4	2:6	1.7	put [3] 9:19	9:21	3:21		17:16	
	22:10	22:12			10 1	14:25		Road [1] 8:23		sound [1]	27:22
	31:22		Plainti		18:4	questions [1]	33:6	ROBERT [1]	2:3	speak [5]	13:7
officer [L 7]	1:8	24:15	24:17	35:6	R [4] 2:2	2:20	1		16:21 18:16	19:21
	8:15	9:15	point [5	9:17	15:18	34:3 35:9		Ron [3] 8:7	18:16	21:3	17.41
	9:20	9:21	16:20	22:15	27:25	radio [1] 13:22		20:11			
	11:21	15:2	police		1:7			Ronald [7]	1:3	speaking [1]	28:2
15:5	15:6	16:9	1:8	4:22	5:3	rank [1] 15:5		2:21 21:7	21:16	specify[1]	17:24
	23:12	26:11	5:7	5:10	6:7	rather [2]	18:16	21:22 22:9	22:21	spoke [1]	27:14
27:11			6:22	7:4	7:24	18:18		room[1] 19:16		spoken [2]	26:25
officers	F1 93	10:5	8:4	9:7	9:9	read [2] 23:16	33:13	Rosendale [1]	6.3		20:23
	11:6	14:7	10:16	11:21	11:24		55.15		6:3	27:20	
	21:15	21:19	12:4	12:18	12:23	ready [1] 19:19		Rules [1]	3:22	squad [1]	19:16
	23:19	24:21	13:7	13:25	14:7	real[1] 17:12		RWS [1] 1:5		Sr[1] 19:20	
	32:12	24.21	14:15	14:25	16:9	really [2]	19:7	S [8] 2:2	2:20	state [7] 1:17	18:2
		1.14	17:23	18:2	19:10	20:24		2:20 3:3	3:3	26:19 26:21	28:18
offices		1:14	20:20	20:23	20:24	reason [4]	7:4	35:3 35:9	35:9	33:10 34:7	20.10
once [1]	8:18		24:24	25:11	25:22	7:7 7:13	18:15	safe [1] 32:7	50.5	STATES [1]	1:2
one [10]	7:16	9:4	26:6	26:11	26:14	1 '					
	11:18	12:25	26:19	26:21	28:18	receive [1]	9:5	Saugerties [16]		station [10]	6:23
	19:22	26:24	35:12	20.21	20.10	recollection [1]	20:8	1:7 1:8	2:15	7:5 7:10	7:14
	32:25					recommendati	On (2)	8:16 10:10	11:3	9:7 9:9	11:19
1			policie		24:25	25:5 25:8	[-]	13:18 20:20	20:23	11:24 14:2	26:6
onto [1]			policy	[5]	12:18	recommendati	000	20:24 21:9	21:13	status [1]	5:21
opinion	[2]	18:25	13:5	13:8	25:11		OHS [1]	21:18 26:7	26:14		
Ī9:5			25:15			25:2		sawed [1]	22:25	stenographica	TIÀ [1]
opposed	lլո	10:22	Posner	. [6]	2:12	record [2]	25:24	1		34:8	
original		3:20	12:14	13:19	14:3	33:13		scene [1] 8:18	_	still [3] 4:22	19:17
3:25	[4]	J.20	19:12	24:4	24:6	recorded [1]	34:8	schedule [1]	6:13	31:4	
	5.0 4	10.4	24:9	24:4	∠ ₹.U	recordings [1]	20:21	SCOLAMIE	RO [11	STIPULATE	D [3]
OWn [2]		13:4	1		11.7		20.21	2:14		3:5 3:15	3:24
P [4]	2:2	2:2	posses		11:7	refer [1] 23:8		search [5]	14:4	Street [4]	1:15
2:20	3:3		32:2	32:13		Referring [1]	6:10	27:2 28:13	35:12	1:23 2:4	2:10
p.m [2]	1:14	33:9	possib	le [1]	14:14	refresh [1]	9:24	35:14	JJ.14		
P.O [2]		2:16	possib		15:16	regard [5]	11:3		- 0.4	strike [2]	3:9
				keepsie		16:10 24:25	25:12	secondhand [3:12	
page [3]	18:10	35:5		rochare	ſī]	30:6	43.12	see [3] 12:12	16:25	subsequent [1]	26:23
35:11			2:11			1		35:12		substance [5]	17:8
pages [1]		18:3	presen		20:13	regular [4]	6:11	senior [2]	27:7	20:18 22:23	27:15
paperwo		11:12	25:3	25:14	26:4	6:17 19:16	27:14	27:9		30:17	
part-tim		4:19	28:4	28:10		relevance [1]	21:5	separate [4]	12:24	such [4] 3:13	10:25
			presen	tly [3]	4:12	remained [2]	31:12				10,23
4:20	5:16	5:21	15:17	15:19		32:2	J1.14	12:24 13:4	14:10		
	8:15		preserv		24:8	1	20/2	sergeant [5]	4:13	suggestions [1]	16:12
particip	ants [1]	7:17	1-			remanded [1]	29:2	4:16 15:7	29:15	sum [1] 9:16	
particul	ar [1]	7:14	preserv		24:5	remember [7]	7:21	30:8		SUPPLIED [2	12:16
parties		3:6	pretty		9:16	7:22 9:12	9:23	Service [2]	1:15	14:23	,
*			22:2	22:13		15:5 28:18	31:7	1:23		T .	7.10
past [3]	15:18	28:4	previo	us (11	15:22	rephrase [1]	4:10	several [1]	20:21	suspect [7]	7:18
28:11			private		19:21	Reporter [2]	1:16			8:4 15:16	15:17
PD [5]	12:2	12:2				34:6	1.10	shall [4] 3:13	3:21	18:17 18:19	25:24
1			proble	m [3]	20:23	J-1.0		3:23 4:2		1	
			1			452 1000				Inda	

EDWIN	BRE	WSTE	R		C	ondens	eIt™		suspect's	- yourself
suspect'	S [1]	25:24	try [1] 24:13		X [6]	1:3	1:9			
suspicio		28:3	type [1] 16:5		19:24	35:3	35:3			
	28:5	28:8	U [2] 3:3	35:9	35:9	4.16				
sworn [3]]	3:16	Ulster [6]	4:13	years	5:20	5:6			
	33:22		4:24 5:14	29:3	York		1:2			
	2:20	3:3	29:9 31:4		1:15	1:17	1:24			1
	34:3	34:3 35:9	uncertain [1]	30:2	2:5	2:7	2:11			ł
35:3 35:9	35:3	33.9	understand [2]	4:9	2:17	33:10	34:7			
taking [1	11	17:3	12:23	***	yours	elf [1]	30:5			
tape [15]		12:13	undertook [1]	29:17						
13:19	13:21	20:21	unfounded [2] 28:11	28:9	Ì					
23:13	23:16	23:23	UNIDENTIFI	ED m						
	24:5	24:7	1:8	رد) حات						
24:18 35:12	29:23	35:6	unit [1] 16:21							
taped [6]	12.6	14:11	UNITED [1]	1:2						
	14:15	18:20	untaped [2]	12:5						
20:16		-	12:6				j			
tapes [13	3]	12:3	up [2] 9:16	25:18			Ì			i
12:19	13:9	13:11	used [1] 23:23							ļ
13:16 14:9	13:23 14:20	14:6 21:4	Valley [2]	1:14						
	22:6	35:14	1:23							
telepho		8:6	Van [2] 29:15	30:8						
9:4	11:21	11:23	Vasquez [4]	26:24	1					
12:3	12:8	12:13	27:6 27:7	28:12						
12:20 14:6	13:16 15:2	13:24 16:7	verifying [1]	19:7						
16:16	35:12	10.7	violation [2]	10:11						
telepho		14:7	10:22	4.0						
telling		19:8	waived [1]	4:2						
19:11			waiver [2] 3:21	3:13						
Ten [1]	21:24		warrant [4]	27:2						
terms [1	130:2		28:13 31:8	31:10						
testifie	d [1]	4:5	Washington [2							i
testimo	ny [4]	3:10	2:16	•						
3:12	23:19	33:14	weapon [5]	11:8						İ
thereby		3:23	11:15 11:20	23:2	1					İ
three [5]		5:6	23:5				:			
5:11	5:20	13:2	week[1] 31:3	07.17						İ
through 14:25	[2]	6:14	weekend[1]	27:17						
title [2]	4.16	4.20	wherever [1]	14:13						
today [4		4:20 23:14	whole [1]	22:13						į
29:24	31:24	32:5	willing [1]	16:25						
took [4]		16:20	within [1]	30:16						
22:12	32:23		witness [1]	3:17	1					
top [1]	13:5		Woodstock [16 2:10 4:19	4:22						
touch [2	2]9:19	9:21	5:4 5:10	5:13						
Town [19]	1:6	5:21 12:4	12:18						
1:7	1:7	1:8	14:7 24:24	25:11						
2:10 6:3	2:15 6:3	5:12 6:3	25:22 31:12	32:3						
6:6	7:19	8:15	Wooton [8] 2:9 2:22	1:6 9:15						
9:19	11:16	12:2	10:5 10:16	23:12	j]		
26:11	26:19	32:3	23:19							
transcr 34:11	ript [2]	33:16	work-related	[1]						
trespas 10:11	5 S [3] 10:11	10:10	workday [1] worked [2]	6:17 4:19						
trial [5]		3:14	6:2	4:19					•	
24:5	24:8	33:8	written [2]	18:23						
true [1]			25:15	-0.23					1	
truth [2]		24:2	Wrolsen [5]	8:12						
truthfu	ı l [2]	19:6	8:23 8:25	21:13						
19:9			21:18							